

Note from the Editors and Open Review Information

Dear readers,

we are happy to launch the eleventh volume of the NWR as an issue in progress. The last issue was somewhat delayed due to different circumstances, but now we are back on track, and this issue will be closed and published as Issue 11 at the end of this year.

Our publishing model is based on continuous submission and publication and has proved to work quite well. One advantage with the continuous publication model is that it facilitates our open review process: Submissions are, as soon as they have gone through anonymous peer review and been accepted for publication, released online for open review for four weeks. During this time readers can submit comments and remarks on the paper, and the author has the option of revising the paper in the light of these comments. The aim is to promote a collaborative scholarly effort that can enhance the quality of the contributions. Indeed, several of our authors have received valuable comments during this process. Comments can be sent directly to the author or to the editors (more information below).

The continuous publication model also means that we no longer have specific deadlines for submissions. Instead, received submissions are processed continuously, and submissions that are accepted after

peer review are released online with as little delay as possible. If you have further questions, please take a look at these [Frequently Asked Questions](#) about continuous publication.

Bergen–Uppsala–Norwich–Stavanger

July 2022

The editors

Simo Säätelä, Gisela Bengtsson, Oskari Kuusela & Cato Wittusen

Prepublication Open Review Information Volume 11 (2022)

NWR has implemented a continuous submission/publication model. Articles published in this volume are open for comments for four weeks in PREPUBLICATION OPEN REVIEW.

We invite comments and discussions on these articles. Our hope is to engage the community in a process of collaborative review, with the aim of enhancing the scholarly value of the contributions. All kinds of comments, from pointing out typos to more wide-ranging commentaries, are welcome as long as they are constructive and help the author improve the text. Extensive comments or discussions can, if they are relevant, be considered for publication in our Comments section.

After the four-week open review period, the author has the opportunity to revise the preprint version of the submission.

How to participate in open review?

You can comment on an article either by sending a mail directly to the author, or by contacting the editor-in-chief. Commentators are expected to appear as peers, i.e. by their real names. If you have reasons to want to retain anonymity in relation to the author, please contact the editor-in-chief.

OPEN REVIEW GUIDELINES

1. In your comment, please refer by article name and page number, or by quoting the passage you comment.
2. We seek constructive commentary and criticism that may help the author improve the text.
3. You can send your comment directly to the author, or to the editor-in-chief.
4. Please note that Prepublication versions of articles are works in progress. Remember that the final version will be published after the four-week Open Review period. If you nevertheless need to refer to an article currently in Open Review, use the following format:

Author, A., Author, B., and Author D. (2022). "Title of paper here". *Nordic Wittgenstein Review*, Vol. 11. [Prepublication for Open Review]. [accessed date.month.year]